*Previous references.* 35 P

GP 380-1 / Ex F 11-01 D 13-11-03

1903-04

( Excise )

S.R. File No. 115 of 03

Serial Nos. 1-2

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 1 — 2

Major Part B. for January

SUBJECT.

Toddy Claim of the Inamdar of Kada-
Singanahalli Kunigal Taluq. Tumkur

From Excise Commissioner No. 5274/207 D 16-12-0

Reports on the Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue
with records of enquiry.

Excise Commissioner
Mysore in Mysore } No. 542-3 / Ex F 11-01
D 30-1-04

re letter

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

S.R. 115-03

**(Full Office Note.)**

‡ **Subject.** E Commi letter no 5274/207, 2 16/12/03.

Inam Loddy claim of Kadurinagenahalli pdi village Kunigal Taluk Tumkur Dist

* **Note.** The village has only a dale grant but no Loddy slips.

The present Commi report is complete in itself. From the terms of the Inam Settlement it is evident that the Loddy revenue has been preserved to Govt since 50 yrs' enjoyt established to give the claim special treatment under rule 20. Hon'ble S. Dore. Submitted per the Off. Dy Comr of Tumkur Comments upon

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

the Income & Clement Act 1908
that if necessitates the claim and
Revision to be ~~overlooked~~
the fact that the Consequential
loss was claimed, by a reduction
of £101.11.11 from the Jr. payable
to Govt. & moreover under guise
of ~~wants~~ to take the
Income Sc & Clement Act ~~in~~ the
Standard point, not in
this case, clearly governed
the lower figure.
Hopes this claim is ruled.

on account of the Consequential closing of the Shop alleged to be existed in the valuation.

True
22/1/04.
Ch. 22/1/04

H
22/1/4

The Income
through the 2nd
Commissioner

Agree with the Assessor
Comm - Draft attached
26/1/04

15464
27.1.04.

S. R 115-03 Ex 279 & 21/11/03.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT. 21-12-03

From SL-1

R. Narayana Esquire B.A.
Excise Commissioner,
S. R. 115/03 in Mysore,

To,

H. V. Nanjundiah Esquire,
M. A., M. L.,

Secretary to Government,

General and Revenue Departments.

Dated. 16th December 1903

Despatched 18th

Received

No: 5274/207 Exc 2 & 3 files

---

Submits the records of enquiry relating to the Toddy renewals of Kadasinganahalli Jodi village, Kunigal taluk.

Exc 19.12.03

Draft order
Vakils
Nagatta & Battaswamy
Case also sent up for sd
Exc 17/1/04

No. 5274/207 and 2 & 3 files.

Office of the Executive Engineer,
Salem Bangalore 16/15 December 1903.

From,

P. N. Purushotthama Iyengar, B.A.,
Executive Commissariat Engineer,

To,

H. V. Nanjundiah Esquire, M.A., L.T.,
Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the records of the Judicial enquiry relating to the Judicial murder of Kadasiganahalli Villager, Kunigal Taluq, together with copies of the Junkum District Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 121 dated 22nd July 1903, and the Superintendent's docket No: Misc. 121, dated 27th April 1903, I have the honour to state

but it is not clear whether it —
represented only the rent of the trees leased
for drawing toddy, or both, such rent and
duty on toddy. But the Inam Assistant
who investigated the case, has recorded
in the Settlement Register as follows:-
"From the accounts it appears the parties
"are enjoying the toddy and Sayer bābs.
"They have, however, not produced any
"original accounts to show what they
"actually realized under these heads.
"I think the sum of Rs. 1-11-11 which is
"included in the jodi on account of
"Toddy, and the average revenue of Sayer,
"viz. 4 pies, may be remitted and the items
"be reserved. Regarding toddy the village
"will be governed by the rules laid down

"dorsi in Proceedings No: 16, dated 13th
"February 1871. To be confirmed to the
"parties in Col-15 in one Titledeed, as
"per shares in Col-18, subject to—

| | Rs. | as. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| "present-godi | 137 | - | 4 |
| "Deduct-godi for "Joddy and Sayer | 1 | 12 | 3. |
| | 135 | 4 | 1 |
| "1/8 quitrent on revised assessment | 63 | 1 | 6. |
| | 198 | 5 | 7 |

or Rs. 198/-

"The parties have agreed to enfranchise-
"ment". The Special Inam Assistant
in his review states as follows:—
"The valuation I think, may safely
"be raised to Rs. 700/- considering
"the present extent of land. If my
"valuation be adopted, the Combined

whether they would like the District officers assuming the management of Sayer and Abkari revenue of their village and paying them the residue as per Government Proceedings No: 3697, dated 8th October 1873, or they would prefer the Compensation on account of the resumption of Sayer and abkari, being deducted from the godi. The godidars having declined the option, the settlement was finally effected on 27th July 1897, deducting from the godi Compensation on account of the resumption of Sayer and Abkari (Toddy), viz 1-12-3, as per Inam Assistants' calculation above given.

Rev. 30

4) It is clear from the foregoing facts that the Excise Revenue from Toddy was not conceded to the Jodidars by the Sannad, but because Rs. 1-11-11 were included in the assets of the original Jodi, on account of Toddy, the amount was deducted from the Jodi as Compensation, the Toddy revenue being resumed at the Inam settlement as per Proceedings No: 10, dated 13th February 1871. Consequently the Jodidars are not entitled to the Excise Revenue from Toddy. Accordingly only $\frac{1}{5}^{th}$ of the rent of the Grove Farm under Rule 4 in Government Proceedings No. 2074-83 / A.F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901, is payable to them.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Excise Commissioner, in Mu...

1903

10

From

The Superintendent of
Ewers & Urban Settlements

To

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

Dated 27/30 April 1903.

Mis. No. 131

---

His letter No. 6250/2082 of the 18th April 1903, requesting opinion on the views espressed in his docket No. 4791/1578, of the 14th February 1903 regarding the claims of Jodidars for toddy revenue in Jodi Kadasinganahalli village, Kunigal Taluk.

---

In reply has the honor to

11

I state that so far as the records of this Department shew, the Inamdars of Jodi Kada-Singanahalli village have no right to Toddy revenue.

(signed) W. Grant

Supt. of Inam Sett's.

"Copy"

Excise Commissioner,

Encl to S.C. 1

~~Deputy Commissioner's Office~~

Misc No 121

Tumkur District

22nd July 1902

From,

K.S. Dorasami Iyer Esq.

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To,

P. N. Purnaiyya Esquire, B.A.,

Excise Commissioner,

in mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 7249/99, dated 26th April 1902, and no. 7360/103, dated 28th May 1902, I have the honor to state that as has been mentioned in Survey Superintendant's letter No. 81, dated 29th November 1894 to the Excise Commissioner, copy of which has been forwarded to this office with your docket No 2802/77, dated 13th December 1894, the Abkeri Revenue which was

was first ordered to be reserved, was subsequently not interfered with, as the Inamdars declined the commutation offered in the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No: 9203/528, dated 17th March 1875.

One and the same reasoning given by Dewan Purnaiyya applies to the grant of Revenue of Kogalle, Kadasinganahalli and Tallasandra villages, and the Inams were settled jointly, and the Inamdars did not consent to the loss of their Abkari Revenue. The Proceedings of Government no. 4701—9-133 of 2nd September 1881, under which the revision purports to have been made, are irrelevant to the question. Calculating the old gadi from [illegible]

in the case of Kogatta, that a
compensation of Rs. 2½ has been granted
for an item of Revenue which
fetched Rs. 72 a year in the seventies,
and Rs. 65 to Rs. 84 a year in the
eighties. Under these circumstances,
and having regard to the cases of
Inam shops compulsorily closed
on the representation of the Inamdars
in view to benefit the adjacent Govern-
ment shops, I have always taken
reductions of the above kind as pertaining
to shop-rent of which the Inamdar was
either deprived by the district authorities
in the interests of Government Revenue,
and they cannot legally or equitably
apply to subsisting rights of far greater
value, which continued to be enjoyed
subsequent-

subsequent to 1881 and up to 1892,
and which could not possibly have
been dealt with under the Govern-
ment Proceedings of 1881, or any
other Proceedings that I am aware
of.

The records returned to this office
with the above dockets are herewith
rebian mitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed). K. S. Duraiswami Iyer,
offg. Deputy Commissioner.

Copy"

[illegible]

Special Commissioner,
in Europe.

Encl: G Sl. 1

Sl. 115/03

76

Register Sheet No. 133

Falath — Hulipuradurg
Hobli — Hulipuradurg
Village — Kadaramgamalada
Doddi

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Kadasinganahalli **in the**

E

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

17

| Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village. | General class to which the Inam belongs. | Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden. | Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column. | Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. | Personal | As per Cymaishy of the Talook Official Conducted under the orders of this Office of 1871. | | |
| Revd Final Title Deed No 307 | | Dry Village Site unarable | 4 Cood ½ Seers = | 2.69 |
| | | Cultivated | 25 Cawdies, 12 Cood 2½ Seers = | 338.71 |
| | | Cultivable | 27 Cawdies 1 Cood 7/8 Seers = | 357.76 |
| | | | 10 Cawd: 11 Cood: 5 ⅛ Seers = | 139.93 |
| Title Deed No 34684. Date of Cresp^n 1-1-72 Date of Issue 5 Taluk 30-9-74 | | + Wet Cultivated | 16 Cawd, 14 Cood 2⅜ Seers = | 34.53 |
| | | Cultivable | 3 Cawd: 2 Cood: 5⅞ Seers = | 18.87 |
| | | | | 53.40 |
| | | + Garden mulberry vegetables | | |
| | | + Coconut + Supari | 1 Cawd, 12 Cood 1½ Seers = | |
| | | | Grand Total | |

Old Extent as entered in the Revenue Jamabandi Accounts, see list received with the Division Commrs Docket No 5 of 13-4-74

K. C. P.

Dry - 63-3-

Wet - 25-16-15

Garden - 5-19-6

94-19-5

Entered in

ad-interim Certificate

**Sammat of** Hulijundroog **in the Taluk of** Hulijundroog

| | | DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Old assessment. | Survey assessment. | Description of Inam. | |
| Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7. | | If for service, it ts to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up.<br>Hereditary or for life. | Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.*, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered. |
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | As per Kyfiyat-<br>putties<br>56 Pagodas<br>1 Fanam<br>= 163-3-3 | For personal benefit- | 47 Pagodas & 1 Fanam<br>= 137-1-4 inclusive of<br>1-11-11 on account<br>of Toddy<br>Local Fund 1868<br>1-6-- |

839.09

53.40

54.24

3.33

950.06

Revised valuation

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Dry Cultivated | 357.76 @ 8 annas | = | 178-14-1 |
| Cultivable | 139.93 - 4 - " | | 34-15-8 |
| Wet inclusive of Chiller Garden } | 88.77 @ Rs 4 | = | 355-1-3 |
| Wet Cultivated } | 18.87 @ Rs 2 | = | 37-12-1 |
| Garden Sugarcane | 3.33 @ Rs 8 | = | 26-10-3 |
| | | | 633-5-4 |
| Other items exclusive of Rayat Toddy } | | | 6-5-2 |
| | | | 639-10-6 |

or Rs: 640 adopted

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

| By whom granted and in what year | Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession.<br><br>In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given. |
|---|---|
| 10 | 11 |
| Granted by Kempa = Gowda Pallegar of Magadi in 1657 A.D. | One grant in Cadjan granting the Village of Kodadingamakally to Timmala Samayaji, free of Rent.<br><br>One Nerup from Diwan Poorniah dated 1801 Confirming the Village on a Jodi of 46 Pag: and 9 Fanams to Venkatapati Shastry & others. |

Bangalore.

90

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

| Name of original grantee | Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810. | Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810. |
|---|---|---|
| 12 | 13 | 14 |
| | Kifeiyeh Jnthies 1806-10 18.21-25-31<br>Kadasingamah = hally Agrahar Asli - Dakle 1 Small Tank 4<br>Venkatesa Bhatt & others Vrithies 11<br>old Beriz 47 Pag<br>& 1 Jowari Zasti 9 Pag:<br>Total 56-1-Pag:<br>Whichdeduct- 6 Jans: to Abkary Contractor<br>Jodi 46½ Pag: | Kadasingamahally Agrahar Asli - Dakli 1 4<br>Small Tank 1<br>Venkatesa Bhatt & others Vrithies 11<br>56 1/10 Pag: Beriz<br>47 1/10 Pag: Jodi including 6 Jan: for Abkari<br>Jamabandi Goshwara 1862<br>same<br>163 - 3 - 3 Beriz<br>137 - 11 - 4 Jodi 6<br>including Abkari<br>1-11-11<br>Classwar accounts Class II No 5<br>Kadasingamahally Asli - Dakli 1<br>Beriz & Jodi 16 |

of the Nandidroog

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

| Residence, name and age, &c. | Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders. | Surviving heirs of the present incumbent. | If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Kadaringanahally. | | | Vrittee |
| 1. Venkatasa Shastry 41 | Grandson of Venkatarabhat in Col: 13. | 1. Daughter | 2 1/8 |
| 2. Doddabhut, 32. | | 1 son | |
| 3 Venkatadaly Rambhat 20 | | | |
| United. | | | |
| 4. Lootan bhut 5[illegible] | Grandson | 2. Sons | 7/16 |
| 5. Rerniwasabhat | Great-grandson | — | 1/4 |
| 6. Lambashastry 12. 25 | Brothers Grandson of party in Col: 11 | 2. Daughters | 3/16 |
| United | | | |
| 7. Reek Kantha bhatt 22 | | | |
| 8. Narasimha Shastry 20 | Shares. | — | 1/8 |
| (United.) | | | |
| 9. Venkatanarnabhat 14 | do — | | 1/8 said Share been alienated by grant in Col 11 no deed. |
| 10. Venkatarama = bhatt 10 | | | 1 7/8 out of this 1 1/2 Vrittis were granted on Sifter by Rivarama bhut to Venkatarama bhat Grandfather of parties for good. as per unstamped deed 27, 1825 stamped in 1861. |
| United | | | |
| 11. Venkata Lakshamma widow 30 | do | | |
| 12 Venkata Doddamma widow 20 | do | 4 daughters | 1 Vritty |
| 13 Shamannah, 12 | do | — | 3/8 " |
| 14 Venkatarama = bhat 28 | do | — | 1/2 " |
| 15. At-Heaf of Venkatasubbabhatta 34 | do | — | 1/4 |
| 16. Shankara Naniah 32 | Shareers | 1 Son. | 1 Vritty |
| 17. Seshagiriah 21 | | 2 Sons | |
| United | | | |
| Velamana Ashtagram 18. Ananda Rella bhatta 32 | do | 1. United brother | 1/4 |
| 19. Ananthanna | | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| (united) 40 | | | |
| At Bangalore | | | |
| 1. Gundappa 25 | do | — | 1/4 |
| At Chittannahally | | | |
| Ramabhatta 45 | — | — united Worker | 1/4 |
| At Nagadi | | | |
| 3 Bhaskara Nanjunda Shastry 55 | — | 2 sons | 1/2 |
| 4. Nanjundabhatta | | | |
| 5 Chandousekaria Shastry 30 | — | 1 daughter | 1/8 |
| Someswarabhatta 35 | — | 1 son | 1/4 |
| (divided) 32 | — | 1 son | 1/8 |

Total Votes 11.

Note.

A. No independent mams excluded from the afecto of Jodi Village.

Subsequent alienations by the Jodidars.

1. Kasiviswesvara Swami for Puja dry 10 — wet 4 — } K-K-P
2. 1. Venkatesa Shastry
   2. Subbabhatta
   3. Venkatadasanabhatta } dry 4 — wet 4 — } — Free

| Year | Land | Inotunja | Amrayi | Hoolkum | Dayi | Todda | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| 1861-2 | 258 — — | 3 3 3 | — — — | 4 10 6 | — — — | ~~1 — —~~ | 26 — |
| 1862-3 | 235 — — | 3 7 10 | — 9 4 | 1 2 8 | — 2 — | 5 — — | 24 |
| 1863-4 | 247 — — | 2 14 7 | 1 2 8 | 6 1 2 | — 1 4 | 5 — — | 26 |
| 1864-5 | 192 — — | 3 7 10 | — — — | 4 5 3 | — — — | 5 — — | 20 |
| 1865-6 | 138 — — | 2 14 7 | — — — | 6 9 4 | — — — | 5 — — | 15 |
| 1866-7 | 230 — — | 2 14 7 | — 4 8 | 4 14 — | — — — | 5 — — | 24 |
| 1867-8 | 229 — — | 2 14 7 | — 14 — | — 14 — | — — — | — — — | 23 |
| 1868-9 | 248 — — | 2 14 7 | — — — | — 9 4 | — — — | 9 — — | 25 |
| 1869-70 | 254 — — | 2 14 7 | — — — | — — — | — — — | 4 — — | 26 |
| 1870-71 | 229 — — | 2 14 7 | — — — | — 9 4 | — — — | 5 — — | 22 |
| | 2251 — — | 30 9 — | 2 14 8 | 29 11 7 | — 3 4 | 34 — — | 234 |
| Average | 225 1 7 | 3 — 11 | — 4 8 | 2 15 7 | — — 4 | 3 6 5 | 23 |

*Division.*

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

| Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation. | ~~Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.~~ |
|---|---|
| 19 | |

Whole grant-

These people did not attend, though repeatedly summoned- a notice of 1st Instant was also issued - to be attached

29/8/70 (Signed) V. N. Narasimmayar

The inam is valid. The parties attended on the 6th July 1871, and were therefore in time -

2. There was no record of the extent of the Village available. It was measured by a Taluk Official under the orders of this Office as per Cols: 3, 4, 5. This Office Surveyor could not be sent so far for the work, and the Village is not demarcated. If the Inam Commr has no objection, the boundary marks may be ordered to be put up at the most salient points by the Taluk Officials,

3. No 1 raises a dispute in regard to the registration of the names of Nos 9 & 10 for 1/2 Vritties - He contends that they belong to one Pyaramma, a widow, whose reversioner is No 1. But from the documents produced & from actual enjoyment it was proved that the said Vritties were alienated by the husband of that widow (his son-in law, the grandfather of the claimants - No 1 was told by an endorsement of 21st August 1870, to sue in the regular manner, but although more than a year has elapsed, he has not done so - His dispute should not therefore be noticed -

4. There is no Vrittiwar account available and the shares in Col: 18 are given as per statement, and also according to the information furnished by the Tejanam & the other Co-Sharers. The Vritty of the Petitioner, whose Urjee was recd: with the Inam Commrs docket No 152 of 18th August 1871, is registered as No 21.

5. Out of the shares of Nos 7 & 8, 1/2 Vritty is mortgaged to Ranganna for 146 & 8 3/4 fanos: in 1848, & the mortgagee has no objection to its Confirmation to the Mortgagors - See Amildars Urjee No 151 of 5th September 1871.

6. In reviewing the assist the neighbouring potts have been duly considered - See statement. From the accounts, it appears the parties are enjoying the toddy and Sayer trades. They have however not produced any original accounts to shew what they actually realize under these heads - I think the Sum of Rs: 1-11-15 which is included in the Jodi on account of toddy and in the average revenue of Sayer, viz: 4 pies may be remitted, and the items be reserved - Regarding toddy, the Village will be governed by the rules laid down in Proceedings No 16 of 13th Feby 1871.

7. To be confirmed to the parties in Col: 15 in one Title deed as per shares in Col: 18, subject to.

Present-

(Col:19 Contd)

Present-Jodi 137-00-4

Deduct-Jodi for toddy and and average of days } 1-12-3

135-4-1

1/8 Unit-Rent for period as above } 63-1-6

198-5-7

or Rs:198/

8. The parties have agreed to enfranchisement.-

Q. This Village is not subject to the irrigation cess as there are no Government reservoirs ahead of the inam tank and there is no percolation at work - See Amildars Report No 167 of 16th Instant -

(Signed) V.N. Narasimgar
19/7/71. Asst

Vide Inam Commrs docket no 12 of 15th April 1872, forwarding for Report, an Urzee of Soobbabhatt, Claiming a share in the Virtte of No 11 in Col:15. The Petitioner was stated to be not in possession, and was directed by Govt to sue in the regular manner. He may now be directed to do so in the proper Courts.

The Urzee should be returned

(Signed) V.N. Narasimgar
Asst

28/5/72 Endorsed accordingly

(Initialed) Robt Gyle
5/6/72 Dy Supt of Inam Affrs

Review by the Special Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.

(Col:20)

To be attached

(Initialed) B. Gopanliah -
Special Assistant -

28/11/70:

To be attached.

(Signed) T. Clark
Dec 29-70 Inam Commr

The documents in Col:11 and the accounts in Col 13 and 14 establish the validity of the Grant. In the absence of the former accounts showing the extent of the Inam, those in Col 4 may be adopted. Bounds to be erected at the salient points by the Amildar for general information and for the guidance of the coming Survey. Any person dissatisfied with or having a complaint against the boundary lines now adopted should present their Grievances within 1 month of its demarcation

Vakam: Wide Amildar's circle 473 of 1873-75)

(Col: 20 Contd)

demarcation. The Dongar and Abkary are reserved in the payment of the Compensation in the shape of a deduction from the Combined Unit-Rent as recommended by Mr Varadinmegar in para 6 of his remarks.

The revenue from Teak and Comdal is reserved. The description of timber can be felled without license from the Forest Department.

The income is subject to the Irrigation cess but the revenue derived from it should be spent upon the Comdars tanks.

The remarks in paras 3, 4 and 5 call for no remarks. The valuation I think may safely be raised to Rs 700 Considering the large extent of land on Unculturable and the area said to be Malayak.

If my valuation is adopted, the net Combined Unit-Rent will be as following:-

| | |
|---|---|
| Present Jodi Rs: 137-4-4 | |
| 1/8 Unit-Rent | 70-5-11 |
| | 207-6-3 |
| Deduct Compensation | 1-12-3 |
| For the reserved Abkary &c | |
| | 205-10-4 |
| | or |
| | Rs: 206-0-0 |

(Initialled) B. Gopaulah
Spl Asstt

27-9-71.

I concur with the Special Assistant in his review and orders will issue accordingly.

Confirmed on Combd Unit-Rent of Rs: 206, subject to the Irrigation Cess. Abkary & Dongar are reserved as also the right to Comdal & Teak.

(Signed) Robt Hole
17-10-71. Deputy Commr

The Jodydars have agreed to pay the ad-interim Unit-rent, and they do not desire a detailed Survey. Vide Amildars Letter Nos 272 & 1298 dy Respectively

14th December/72 and 11th June/73.

(Signed) J. Inckle
Offg: Asstt Comr of D.

28/71

Chief Commrs Proceedings No. No. 9203/548 D/17th March/75. The Irain Nars decline option about the Area and assessment as per statement received with Survey Supts letter no 4570 of 15th May 1876.

| Items (Trial ZD 18-13.) | Area | Assessment | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | A- G. | R a P | |
| Gross area | 977.13 | | |
| Boundaries | | | |
| Cultivable | 391.28 | 24-7-8 | noted below |
| Uncultivable | | | |
| Dry | 498.19 | 418-12-4 | |
| Garden | 32.3 | 102-5-3 | |
| Wet | 55-3 | 213-11-5 | |
| | 585.25 | 734-2-0 | |
| | | or eliminating fractions 732-- | |
| Add average of Inotaur | 977.13 | 756-7-8 | |
| | | 3-4-11 | |
| | | 759-8-7 | |
| | | or 760--- | |
| No Jari Inams | | " | |
| Full Jodi | " | 137-- 4 | |
| Deduct Compensation granted on Lanyer & Abkari | " | 1-12-3 | |
| Balance | " | 135-4-1 | |

Confirmed on the Jodi — 135-4-1 plus 1/8 quit-rent on the differences } = 78-1-6

Total Rs: 213-5-7

or Rs: 213-

(Signed) Robt Hope
22-6-71 Asstt to D

Boundaries as per Statement received with the Survey Supdts letter No 357 of 17-4-1876

North - Kampasura and Vittur
South - Hunsikuppi
East - Yedahalli, Minampura and Hutridurg
West - Sindapanhalli and Tipsiankenhally

(Signed) Robt Cole
Supdt of Inam Settlt

22-6-76

Read letter No 24 of 20 January 1878 from the Supdt of Revenue Survey, requesting to make some corrections in the area and assessment in the Statement sent with his No 451 of the 15th May 1876 and noted above. The corrections mentioned are now made in red ink. 73-70

(Signed) J.J. Mackenzie
for Supdt

---

1. Revd as per the Govt Pro: No 14,223-5 / P.T. 100-93 of 17th July 1894.

2. Vide the detailed Statement received with the Survey Supdts Yadi No ~~735~~ 375 of 29th " 1897.

Total area & assess:— A. G. R. a. P.
977-13 — 445-9-"

Particulars

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Unculturable | — | 495-18-7 | — |
| Dry | | | |
| Cultivated | . . | 371-36-265-12 | " |
| Culturable (waste) | . . | 23-4-3-6 | " 1/4 assess |
| Wet | | | |
| Cultivated | . . | 23-29-55-4 | — |
| Culturable Do | . . | 31-14-29-5 | " Do |
| Garden | | | |
| Cultivated | . . | 26-8-74-8 | " |
| Culturable Do | . . | 5-24-5-6 | " |
| | | 977-13 | 445-9 " |
| Add average of Inchatanja | . . | | 3 " " 11 |
| | Total | . . | 448-9-11 |

Full Jodi — 137-"-4
Deduct Commutation granted on account of Alkans — 1-12-3
Balance 135-4-1

Balance Jodi — 135-4-1
1/8 Quit-Rent on the differences of Rs: 39-2-8
174-6-9

or

or avoiding fractions Rs: 174-- --

5. Finally Confirmed accordingly.

(Signed) D. Grant
Supdt of Imam Rehls

27-7-97.

Copy.

Imam Rehls Office
27th May — 1903
-Compd- [illegible]

Supdt
Rehls of Imam Rehls

Calendar of a claim to Toddy Revenue enquired into by the Sentl Asstt Commissioner Tumkur District.

| Name of Taluk | Name of Hobli, Sannat or Nagani | Name of Savandan Jodi or Kayangatta Village | Name of Inamdar, Jodidar or Kayangattadar | Documents, if any, relied upon | Witnesses examined | Finding | Amount claimed, if any. | Grounds of decision |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Kunigal Taluk. | Kadaba | Kadadanganakallu | Venkatramiah & Sons | Inam Register and Schedule A & B marked in the Office of Asstt Commr of the Village | Nil | Entitled to Seven Rupees. | 27 2 3 | Mr B.K. Venkatanarayanaiya has admitted the Inamdar's claim to Toddy Revenue & the Sannads and the Inam Superintendent's letter support the same. |

Tumkur
Dated 29th January 1902

Copy
Dy Commissioner

Signed T. Paramaswara Iyer
Sentl Asstt Commissioner
Tumkur District

Memo of decision passed in re the claims of the Jodidars of Madasingan-halli village to the toddy revenue thereof.

—

The Inamdars have a right to Excise Revenue as is proved by the Sannads and Recognised by the Inam Commissioner. It is clear from a stamped Karar that there was a shop in this village till 1875-76. The Inamdars got into arrears during the famine and the Government attached the village in 1881-82, but the Inamdars got back the village on payment of the arrears about a year after. Mr. Venkatnaradengar enquired into this claim in 1894 and recognised the claim of the Inamdars. For the years 1892-93 to 1896-97 the Inamdars are entitled to 27-2-3 Rs-as-P. During 1897-98 no trees were tapped in this village and the Inamdars are not entitled to anything under the Rules. The population of the village is 420 and the Inamdars are entitled to 115-7-8 Rs-as-P a year from 1898-99 under Rule 13 of the Rules.

(Signed) T. Parameswara Iyer
Genl Asst Commissioner

28th January 1902.

When the revenue derived by Government from the trees in this village in different years is taken into consideration, the above

proposal is preposterous. No more than Rs. An 28-14- has been realized by Government from 1892/93 to 1897-98. Since then no trees have been tapped in this village.

It cannot be the object of rule 13 that it should be applied to such cases. If the village was entitled to a shop also, the amount of tree tax due to them would not have been anything like Rs. An P. 116-7-8. I think rule 13 should only be applied to cases in which a large number of trees, the yield of which would exceed the amount due in proportion to its population. Otherwise, the Government would be sacrificing part of its own revenue for the benefit of the Inamdar.

In this case, I would pay the Inamdar the tree tax realized minus establishment costs.

[Signed] B. G. Krishnamayar
Dy Commissioner,
Inamdar District

"Copy"

Replied to—
Records also sent—
22.7.02.

27-5-02
Exempt

1901 No 1466
28-5-02

To 749 Deputy Commissioner

99 It will be seen from the correspondence ending with the enclosed copy of Govt. Lr. No. 14.223-5 dated 17th February, 1894, that permission was granted to deduct the cost of Forest establishment from the full gross receipts from tree tax collected in this village. Before, however, any deduction is made, we are not entitled to have the account of Forest establishment audited by the Accountant General. [illegible] 24/5/1902 [illegible] Superintendent.

31

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,
Excise Branch.

File No. (if any) and its date—

*Office Draft.*

S.R - 115703 Sl 2

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read: Letter no 5274/207 dt 16.12.03 from the Excise Commr in Mysore, reporting on the inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of Kadasuga-nahalli jodi village Kunigal Taluk, Tumkur district

(In extenso)

Order: no 542-3-47-11-01 dt 30/1/04.

The village has only a toddy date & stone but no toddy shop. The Samasthanam & 3 other villages does not concede the toddy revenue to the

16 Bdll 26/1/04

06735 Ullk 28.1.04

Revenue 2/2/1904

Arrangements. Mr Lowrie
Secretary's memo
of 16/6/1870 relative
to the mandamus
conceded the Toddy
renters of Mogalla
& Mahatwaddy Mut-
tah of the village
in question. This
matter was settled
in 1871 confirmed in
1876, & finally confirmed
in 1877, when the
Toddy & Arrack renters
was reserved to
Govt under the
Inam Commr's Proc.
No 16 & 13/2/1871, by
No. 3847 - Rs 302 allowed,
however, a reduction
of Rs 1-11-11 on this account
from the amount of
Jodi formerly to Govt,
for which a reduction
of Rs 1-11-11 was
included in the Jodi
on account of the toddy.

on account of commutation to which remuneration as the sum of Rs 1-11-11 had been

The mandamus have produced no proof of the employment of item of this grievance subsequent to the amalgamation.

Court concurring therefore, with the Excise Commissioner differing from the investigating officer & the Honorable, are of opinion that the claim to the Excise grievance from toddy has not been established.

30/4/14

Secretary

To the Excise Commissioner in Mysore returning the record

The Comptroller & Auditor General

22/1/04